

# **Properties of materials mined from landfills**

# **Stuart Wagland**

Senior Lecturer in Energy & Environmental Chemistry

www.cranfield.ac.uk



- Understanding the composition of legacy landfill sites
- The available metals and plastics
- Other benefits of ELFM and the way forward





This research has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under Grant Agreement No 641988



Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council

Work was supported by the UK Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council (EPSRC) (EP/N509450/1)



### Need to consider the whole picture

- Waste-to-Energy
- Waste-to-Material
- Chemical feedstock
- Land restoration/reclamation



Integration of landfill mining in the circular economy Modified from Ellen Macarthur Foundation system diagram



### **EU-wide agenda**

 European Enhanced Landfill Mining Consortium [EURELCO] established (currently 58 members);



- Recent EU projects funded in recognition of the importance of this topic:
  - SMART GROUND
  - NEW MINE
  - COCOON
  - RAW FILL





 2<sup>nd</sup> seminar held in the EU parliament (November 2018) (<u>https://eurelco.org/2018/11/22/2nd-elfm-ep-seminar-shows-landfill-directives-blind-spots/</u>)



Key considerations include:

- Significantly increased proportion of soil/fines vs fresh MSW
- Surface contamination and degradation of recovered commodities (impact on reprocessing and use as a fuel)











Site 8-1x core drill, but split into 22 samples (at 1 metre intervals)





# Metal recovery potential from fines/soil





## **Results from sites 1-4**

	25						
	20	Metal	Site A	Site B	Site C	Site D	<ul> <li>Aqua regia</li> <li>Nitric acid</li> </ul>
g/kg	15	Cu	1,076	1,027	2,595	1,830	
E	10 5 0	Ag	2.26	2.77	3.63	5.02	
		Au	0.18	0.13	0.16	0.05	
		Al	17,274	12,357	12,594	12,079	



# Sites 8 and 9 (all in ppm)

			HEAVY					
	Cd	Cr	Pb	Zn	Sn	As		
Paper	0.51	1,056	94.10	215.55	18.44	2.97	All elevated Pb	
Wood	0.77	2,435	175.91	325.32	18.88	6.59		
Fines	1.11	834	303.73	565.66	30.83	4.81	and Cr are a	
Film Plastics	1.27	1,187	293.97	519.89	18.98	3.00	concern	
Dense Plastics	1.48	59.14	529.09	1,652	104.96	5.13		
Textiles	1.69	1,866	567.91	650.75	35.47	6.23		
Paper Wood Fines Film Plastics Dense Plastics Textiles	Cu 134.38 166.40 254.22 148.43 588.75 377.86	Ag 0.85 2.21 16.66 1.71 2.61 2.91	Li 5.8 3.4 8.9 4.3 8.3 11.4	i 35 99 91 30 37 94	Sb 7.08 8.59 58.32 182.64 16.49 13.88	Co 10.53 24.73 8.49 12.21 17.43 19.11	Al 10,707 5,045 12,806 6,269 8,238 14,182	AI and Cu may suggest recovery opportunities
	La		Ce	Pr	Nd	Sm		
Paper	4.17	7	8.84	1.00	3.67	0.69		
Wood	3.97	7	9.00	0.99	3.76	0.71	Τοο Ιον	/ to justify
Fines	10.07		21.25	2.40	9.22	1.79	79	
Film Plastics	4.09	Ð	9.08	1.00	4.07	0.72	TOCUSEC	a recovery
Dense Plastics	7.15	5	15.92	1.78	6.75	1.24		
Textiles	8.78	3	20.52	2.26	8.64	1.69		



• Plastics represent around 20-30% by weight of excavated landfill material



• Understanding degradation and contamination









SMART GROUND aimed to foster resource recovery in landfills by improving the availability and accessibility of data and information on Secondary Raw Materials (SRM) in the EU





#### INVESTIGATION OF MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE (MSW) AND INDUSTRIAL LANDFILLS AS A POTENTIAL SOURCE OF SECONDARY RAW MATERIALS

Heikki Särkkä <sup>1,\*</sup>, Tommi Kaartinen <sup>2</sup>, Esa Hannus <sup>1</sup>, Sami Hirvonen <sup>3</sup>, Tuire Valjus <sup>4</sup>, Jouni Lerssi <sup>4</sup>, Giovanna A. Dino <sup>5</sup>, Piergiorgio Rossetti <sup>5</sup>, Zoe Griffiths <sup>6</sup>, Stuart T. Wagland <sup>6</sup> and Frederic Coulon <sup>6,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> South-Eastern Finland University of Applied Sciences, Patteristonkatu 3 D, 50101 Mikkeli, Finland

- <sup>2</sup> VTT Technical Research Centre of Finland, Biologinkuja 7, 02150 Espoo, Finland
- <sup>3</sup> Metsäsairila Oy, Arkistokatu 12, 50100 Mikkeli, Finland
- <sup>4</sup> Geological Survey of Finland (GTK) Po.Box 96, 02151 Espoo, Finland
- <sup>5</sup> University of Torino, Earth Science Department, via Valperga Caluso 35, 10125 Torino, Italy
- 6 Cranfield University, School of Water, Energy and Environment, Confield Microont



Data and decision support tools. Both open access



#### A DECISION SUPPORT TOOL FOR ENHANCED LANDFILL MINING

Guillaume Pastre, Zoe Griffiths, Javier Val, Abubakar Muhammad Tasiu, Erika Valeria Camacho-Dominguez, Stuart Wagland and Frederic Coulon \*

School of Water, Energy and Environment, Cranfield University, Cranfield MK43 0AL, United Kingdom



- Not all landfill sites are suitable for enhanced landfill mining, for a combination of environmental, economic or practical reasons;
- But some sites may require mining for other reasons
- High volumes of soil/fines to manage, however potential value exists within
  - Major challenges and costs involved in recovering metals to a high efficiency and yield; mining <u>only</u> for metals is unlikely to be economically viable.
- Direct recycling of remaining plastics/paper/textiles might not be economically viable due to contamination and degradation;
- Advanced Thermal Treatment [ATT] present further opportunities- energy plus liquid fuels and chemicals

